

The Lead Runners In The Reinsurance Race Look To Separate From The Pack, CEO Panel Says

Primary Credit Analyst:

Taoufik Gharib, New York (1) 212-438-7253; taoufik.gharib@spglobal.com

Media Contact:

Jeff Sexton, New York (1) 212-438-3448; jeff.sexton@spglobal.com

NEW YORK (S&P Global Ratings) June 8, 2018--For the global reinsurance industry, 2018 could be a year of greater separation between coverage providers that are in the market for the long haul and those that will lose sight of the lead pack, a panel of chief executives told attendees at S&P Global Ratings' 2018 Insurance Conference in New York.

S&P Global Ratings maintains a stable outlook on the global reinsurance sector and the majority of the reinsurers we rate. As of May 31, 2018, average credit quality for the reinsurers we rate in the sector was 'A+' and 77% of ratings had stable outlooks. Despite 2017's historic catastrophe (cat) losses, reinsurers' capital adequacy remains robust, although lower than at year-end 2016.

"In the aggregate, the industry is still well-reserved," said Brian Young, president and CEO of Odyssey Group, adding that "Most property-cat programs are still profitable even after the events--but it would be interesting to see what happens" if we have a similar situation this year.

Certainly, 2017 brought a number of challenges to reinsurers, including soft pricing, a heightened competitive environment with a record influx of alternative capital, and, most importantly, the cat events that racked up more than \$138 billion in insured losses globally--by at least one estimate, the most ever recorded in a single year since 1970.

In this light, S&P Global Ratings believes that so-called alternative capital

passed its stress test and continues to come of age, reaching \$89 billion as of year-end 2017.

"Alternative capital is a permanent part of the ecosystem that we all live in," Joe Brandon, executive vice president of Alleghany Corp., told conference attendees. "It's going to exist whether we like it or not. Smart companies are adapting themselves to that reality, finding ways to profit from it and not view it as a threat."

"Alternative capital is another vehicle for us to increase our relevance," John Doucette, president and CEO of the reinsurance division of Everest Re Group, said. "Our view is that a rated vehicle with unrated third-party capital, that combination is one plus one is greater than two."

At the same time, Mr. Young said that alternative capital's presence is essentially limited to a very narrow part of the market: U.S. catastrophe reinsurance. Given that cat underwriting represents roughly 10% of overall underwriting for reinsurers, the threat posed by alternative players may be overstated, he noted.

"It's still pretty small and narrowly focused," Mr. Young said. "You don't run into alternative capital if you go outside the U.S. or if you go outside of cat."

Still, given that, in the words of Mr. Young, "There's just too much capital and not enough pain" in the market, pricing has been affected. As alternative capital's influence in the reinsurance sector grows, especially in property catastrophe and more recently, life reinsurance, the recent upward pressure on reinsurance pricing could be short-lived. After last year's events, global reinsurance pricing was slightly up to about 5%, in aggregate, during the year-to-date renewals. Specific increases varied by line of business, whether the policy had experienced any losses, and region.

Mr. Brandon suggested that, if you had told market experts in August 2017 what was about to happen with regard to natural catastrophes, their expectations of what would have happened to pricing during the important January 1 renewals would have been higher than what the reality turned out to be.

Still, "While the rate increases were in total less than expectations--and some would use the word disappointing, I believe the industry's book... is a more profitable book of business than it was on June 7, 2017," Mr. Brandon said. "Property cat has been a disproportionate profit contributor... on a risk-adjusted basis for reinsurers over the past decade or two."

Mr. Young agreed, saying that, at the June 1 renewals, there seemed to be slightly more downward pressure than at the beginning of the year.

"I think the market still is kind of fragile, and reinsurers have had enough and given enough to ceding companies," he said. "My expectation, in the

absence of meaningful cat losses, or any financial dislocation, is that for the rest of the year and next year, things will be flattish."

"Until the industry starts showing adverse developments... it's going to be tough to see any demonstrative change in pricing," he continued. "As long as there's too much capital in the system and there isn't fear in the market, you're not going to see a meaningful correction."

That said, "It's tough to generalize in a globally diversified market," he added.

Mr. Brandon agreed, suggesting that while "What's going to happen for the rest of the year depends on events, you need to paint with a thin brush: line by line, territory by territory, client by client."

As it stands, Mr. Brandon said, "We've been in a very mild claims environment for over 15 years now--certainly in comparison to the 15 years that preceded it."

"We don't assume that that claims environment, when we're putting up our reserves, to persist forever," he continued. "The state of your balance sheet can turn on a dime--you can't get complacent."

In this light, Mr. Brandon said he feels, "Like I'm watching a marathon where the lead pack is getting stretched out," and the competitive environment is going to separate those that will remain for the long haul from those that won't.

Regarding growth opportunities, Mr. Doucette said, "The global clients--the multinationals--are buying more reinsurance."

"They're using reinsurance to derisk and lower volatility," he continued. "They want to buy from well-rated companies that trade with them all over the world, and there's increased scrutiny about not just the ratings but the longevity of the counterparty."

"There's exposure growth around the world--in South America, in Asia. And wherever there's exposure growth, insurers and reinsurers will be there," he added.

Meanwhile, roughly two-thirds of respondents to a polling question said they expect reinsurers' returns on equity (ROE) to come in at 7%-9% this year and next given the economic and pricing environments and assuming average cat-loss years.

"Who am I to disagree?" asked Mr. Young. "If the cat experience is light, and you see five or six points of reserve release, then it's conceivable reinsurers could get above 10--but you'd need a significant tailwind in underwriting for that."

"Either way, I think you're going to see greater separation between winners and losers," he added.

Only a rating committee may determine a rating action and this report does not constitute a rating action.

S&P Global Ratings, part of S&P Global Inc. (NYSE: SPGI), is the world's leading provider of independent credit risk research. We publish more than a million credit ratings on debt issued by sovereign, municipal, corporate and financial sector entities. With over 1,400 credit analysts in 26 countries, and more than 150 years' experience of assessing credit risk, we offer a unique combination of global coverage and local insight. Our research and opinions about relative credit risk provide market participants with information that helps to support the growth of transparent, liquid debt markets worldwide.

Copyright © 2018 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.